

REMARKS

This paper is accompanies a request for continued examination in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.114. Claims 26 – 27, 29 – 32, 36 – 38, 40 – 42, and 47 – 49 have been amended. These amendments have not been made to overcome the rejections of the Final Rejection dated October 28, 2005. As indicated in the arguments provided in the Response of December 21, 2005, the references relied upon by the Office failed to disclose or suggest processes mapping memory address ranges to a same portion of a physical memory space when one of the processes executed a native code while the other process executed a safe language code. The amendments herein clarify the claims. Claims 33 – 35 and 46 have been cancelled as a result of some of the amendments, and not because of the Office's rejections. Claims 50 – 53 are newly presented.

Conclusion

In summary, claims 26 – 32, 36 – 45, and 47 – 53 are in the case. All claims are believed to be allowable over the art of record, and a Notice of Allowance to that effect is respectfully solicited. Nonetheless, if any issues remain that could be more efficiently handled by telephone, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at the number listed below.

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being

- ☐ deposited with the US Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail and addressed as shown above.  
☐ facsimile transmitted to the US Patent and Trademark Office.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Steven R. Gilliam

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Date

**EXPRESS MAIL LABEL:** \_\_\_\_\_

Respectfully submitted,



Steven R. Gilliam, Reg. No. 51,734  
 Attorney for Applicant(s)  
 (512) 338-6320 (direct)  
 (512) 338-6300 (main)  
 (512) 338-6301 (fax)